Glacial survival of trophically linked boreal species in northern Europe
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Whether non-arctic species persisted in northern Europe during the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) is highly debated. Until now, the debate has mostly focused on plants, with little consideration for other groups of organisms, e.g. the numerous plant-dependent insect species. Here, we study the late-Quaternary evolution of the European range of a boreo-montane leaf beetle, Gonioctena intermedia, which feeds exclusively on the boreal and temperate trees Prunus padus and Sorbus aucuparia. Using species distribution models, we estimated the congruence between areas of past and present suitable climate for this beetle and its host plants. Then we derived historical hypotheses from the congruent range estimates, and evaluated their compatibility with observed DNA sequence variation at five independent loci. We investigated compatibility using computer simulations of population evolution under various coalescence models. We find strong evidence for range modifications in response to late-Quaternary climate changes, and support for the presence of populations of G. intermedia in northern Europe since the beginning of the last glaciation. The presence of a co-dependent insect in the region through the LGM provides new evidence supporting the glacial survival of cold-tolerant tree species in northern Europe.

1. Background

Where did European species survive during the last glaciation? Traditionally, it has been thought that temperate and boreal species survived the Last Glacial Maximum (21 ky BP, LGM) exclusively in southern Europe [1,2]. This paradigm is increasingly viewed as mistaken, however, contradicted by palaeoecological (e.g. [3–6]) and phylogeographic studies (e.g. [7,8]), as well as from species distribution modelling (SDM) (e.g. [9]). Indeed, these pieces of evidence support the existence of glacial refugia (sensu [10]) in higher latitudes for some temperate and boreal species. Yet, it remains highly controversial whether boreal species survived past glacial maxima further north, in the regions neighbouring the Scandinavian ice sheet. Evidence from pollen, macrofossils and ancient DNA indicate glacial survival of boreal trees (Pinus, Picea) in northern Scandinavia ([11], but see [12,13] for an alternate point of view). Ancient DNA also supports the presence of the cold-tolerant temperate and boreal tree Sorbus aucuparia, in northern Scandinavia since the beginning of the Holocene [11], suggesting either fast postglacial immigration [14] or in situ survival.

Trees are important ecosystem engineers [15] and represent crucial habitats for many species. However, even if trees themselves survived in the high north, they may have been too rare to sustain co-dependent species. Also, whereas studies thoroughly recognize the role of climatic conditions in shaping the geographical distribution of species through time (e.g. [16,17]), few studies also showed the importance of the presence of other species like competitors or required hosts for survival [18,19]. Hence, even if climate is a major determinant for the presence of
2. Material and methods

(a) DNA sequences
Sequences from five genetic markers, one mitochondrial (cytochrome oxidase subunit I, COI) and four nuclear loci (Actin, elongation factor 1-alpha or ELFAC, ribosomal protein P0 or RpP0, and Wingless), were obtained from 23 sampled populations (1–11 individuals per population, including at least 10 individuals per considered region; electronic supplementary material, table S1) located over most of the assumed range of _G. intermedia_ (figure 1, and electronic supplementary material, table S1 and figure S1). Each of the four nuclear and the mitochondrial markers displayed allelic richness ranging from 3 to 4.13 per geographical region, and nucleotide diversity ranging from 0.001 to 0.003 per geographical region (electronic supplementary material, table S1), therefore providing sufficient variability for the current investigation.

(b) Species distribution models
Areas of suitable climatic conditions were computed for _G. intermedia_ and its host tree species with MAXENT v. 3.3.3a [28] for the present, the LGM (c. 21 kya) and Last Interglacial (LIG) (c. 120 kya). The models were calibrated with all 23 records of sampling localities in Europe for _G. intermedia_ (figure 1) and projected on layers of past climate without clamping. Given that _G. intermedia_ is difficult to differentiate from its sister taxon _G. quinquenpunctata_ based on morphology alone, that both species feed on the same two host plants, and that they have largely overlapping geographical distributions, the potential for misidentification in occurrence databases (e.g. Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF)) is strong. We therefore only considered the 23 occurrence data points for which genetic information was available to confirm correct species identification. The distribution of _S. aucuparia_ and _P. padus_ were therefore projected on a 50 × 50 km grid based on the maps used in Montoya et al. [29] to use occurrence data in the study area (electronic supplementary material, table S2). Current and past climate data were obtained from the WorldClim database ([30]; http://www.worldclim.org) at a 30 arc-seconds spatial resolution (c. 1 × 1 km). For the LGM, we used two different climate simulations: the Community Climate System Model (CCSM; [31]) and the Model for Interdisciplinary Research on Climate (MIROC; v. 3.2; [32]). We used three different variable selection procedures to select a small set of ecologically relevant bioclimatic variables for each species: (i) six non-correlated variables that we assumed important for a species inhabiting cold regions and in the case of _G. intermedia_, for example, suggested sensitive to heat (absent in southern Europe mountains and valleys in central Europe) temperature during the hottest months. Additionally, among the six we further selected: (ii) those contributing most to the model after successive reduction steps (i.e. taking out one variable at a time), or (iii) those performing best according to the Akaike information criterion scores (computed in ENMeRocs; [33]) (see the electronic supplementary material, Materials and Methods S1). We hindcasted SDMs for the LGM and the LIG in order to investigate past climatic transitions from the glacial to interglacial period, and the reverse, because _G. intermedia_ is thought to have diverged from its sister species, _G. quinquenpunctata_, before the LIG [22].

For the purpose of comparing different SDMs, the predicted suitability maps were transformed to presence–absence maps based on two different thresholds: the maximum training sensitivity plus specificity threshold as recommended by Jiménez-Valverde & Lobo [34] and the minimum presence threshold. These thresholds were selected to represent a strict and a less restrictive approach, respectively, and thus to overcome potential biases in the models. The congruence between the potential distribution of the leaf beetle species and that of its hosts was studied (figure 1 and electronic supplementary material, figure S3) and used to build potential scenarios that were tested with the genetic data.

(c) Coalescence simulations and hypotheses testing
The scenarios were subsequently confronted to genetic variation data. For this purpose, coalescence models were built based on
the continuous probability of presence of the species, i.e. SDM models without constraining them to presence/absence by the two thresholds mentioned above.

To determine which evolutionary scenarios fit the genetic data best, we translated them into models of population evolution for the purpose of computer simulations. Two kinds of models of coalescence were generated: (i) spatially explicit models, that directly integrate a two-dimensional representation of the hypothesized range and take into account the restricted movement of individuals; and (ii) classic models that translate a fragmented species range into a series of panmictic populations among which migration rates are specified. PhyloGeSim 1.0 [35] was used to simulate sequences under the first type of models. In this case, a grid is directly superimposed onto a geographical map,
and grid cells in which the species is present are specified, for different periods of time. Coalescence simulations are then conducted on this grid. This spatially explicit approach offers, among others, the ability to implement isolation by distance, which is assumed for populations of *G. intermedia* given its low capacity to disperse and its fragmented distribution. The second type of models were investigated within an Approximate Bayesian Computation (ABC) framework [36] with the program ABCtoolbox [37]. ABC is a popular approach for comparing historical hypotheses in a rigorous statistical framework [38,39], which allows a more thorough exploration of parameter values, whereas it is restricted to simpler (less geographically explicit) models of coalescence, to avoid unrealistically large computing resources. We thus investigated a wider range of demographic parameter values, mainly for: (i) times of range modification, and (ii) migration rates among populations, with the ABC approach.

The continuous projections of the current, LGM (represented either by the CCSM or MIROC simulation) and LIG distributions were used as a basis to define the tested coalescence models (electronic supplementary material, figures S2 and S3). Evolutionary scenarios tested with ABCtoolbox are described in figure 3 and the electronic supplementary material, figure S5. To develop less complex models for the ABC approach, only the most important parts of the species ranges were included in the models, corresponding to the major portions of the fragmented ranges: (i) the Alps, (ii) the Carpathians, and (iii) Fennoscandia (Scandinavia + Finland). We then evaluated the persistence of a Nordic population at the LGM by estimating the divergence time separating Fennoscandia from the remaining of the distribution. This was done via the inference of the posterior probability distribution of this parameter model. We also investigated the current and past connectivity among the three main currently inhabited regions from the beginning of the LIG.

See the electronic supplementary material, Materials and Methods S1, for a complete description of all analytic procedures (SDMs and hypothesis testing) and all tested coalescence models.

3. Results

(a) Species potential geographical distribution through time

We first modelled the geographical extent of suitable environmental conditions for *G. intermedia* and its two host trees. For all three species suitable environmental conditions appeared to have been more restricted during the LIG than it is today (figure 1; electronic supplementary material, figures S2 and S3). In addition, for *G. intermedia*, areas of suitable climate were less fragmented at the LGM than during the LIG and at present, but not necessarily more widespread. However, the question of connectivity among the three main regions of known occurrence (the Alps, the Carpathians and Scandinavia) remains unresolved with the results of the SDM analysis alone, because different suitability thresholds resulted in various connection patterns, especially for the LGM. A strict threshold, when applied in the host distribution models, indicated that the habitat of *G. intermedia* was limited by the absence of its two host trees during the LGM and LIG (electronic supplementary material, figure S3 A2–4) despite suitable climatic conditions for the beetle in southwest Norway according to the MIROC model (electronic supplementary material, figure S3 A3), or in northwestern Norway under the CCSM model (electronic supplementary material, figure S3 A4). By contrast, less restrictive threshold (electronic supplementary material, figure S3 B3–4) suggested the presence of both the insect and its host trees in these regions.

Given these SDM estimates, we investigated the potential presence of northern refugia in areas influenced by oceanic climate in southwest (MIROC) or northwest Norway (CCSM) at the LGM through the use of geographically explicit coalescent simulations of genetic data. In addition, according to our SDM analyses, suitable environmental conditions are currently available for *G. intermedia* in western Europe, while the species is in fact absent from most of that region (e.g. France, including the Pyrenees and the Massif Central). This suggests that factors other than climatic conditions or the presence of the host plant are constraining the geographical range in the west. We therefore derived 12 historical scenarios (P1–P12) directly from the SDM results, six from the CCSM and six from the MIROC glacial climate estimates (electronic supplementary material, figure S4).

(b) Spatially explicit coalescence simulations

Scenarios P2 (p-value = 0.271) and P8 (p-value = 0.251) were identified as the most likely among tested spatially explicit hypotheses (the third most likely scenario is P5 with a p-value of only 0.04; electronic supplementary material, table S3). Both supported scenarios featured a relatively continuous distribution during the LGM and the presence of isolated glacial refugia in southern Norway (figure 2). They mainly differed in the timing of occurrence of the most ancestral range, when the distribution of *G. intermedia* is restricted to the Alps (figure 2): in contrast to scenario P8, P2 suggested an additional expansion/contraction cycle that occurred before the LIG (before approx. –130 000 yr BP).

Because the pattern of variation at the mitochondrial locus differed somewhat from nuclear loci, we ran the same comparison of scenarios, but based only on nuclear loci. In this case, the p-value of scenario P11 approached those of P2 and P8 and the p-value of scenario P5 was slightly higher than the standard significant threshold of 0.05 (maximum p-values are 0.736 for P2, 0.768 for P8, 0.06 for P5, and 0.349 for P11; electronic supplementary material, table S3). All four supported scenarios involved an early Holocene connection between Scandinavia and eastern Europe (figure 2). All scenarios either: (i) excluding a northern refuge or (ii) including one but without a Holocene connection with central Europe (electronic supplementary material, figure S4 and table S3), were rejected (p-value < 0.001). These results therefore suggest that a combination of northern refuge and early Holocene connection explain the current distribution.

(c) Coalescence simulations in Approximate Bayesian Computation framework

To complement our analyses, we also conducted simulations following simpler (less geographically explicit) models of coalescence, under another statistical framework, using ABC. Through the use of ABC, we can more intensively explore the space of parameter values like divergence time. The best historical scenario (scenario CCROC I; figure 3 and electronic supplementary material, table S4; marginal density of 2.5844 × 10⁻⁴ along with an observed p-value of 0.1813 that indicated a relatively good model fit to the observed data) involves recent migration (Late Pleistocene - early Holocene) among the three regions, bottleneck events for the Carpathian
Figure 2. Selected historical scenarios for *G. intermedia* with the program PimugoSim. Each line corresponds to one scenario. Each scenario is made of several chronological layers, each layer displaying a different matrix of maximum effective population sizes per grid cell, and includes the matrices based on SDM outputs for three different time periods. Time periods of each scenario layer is given in numbers of years. Blue cells have a maximum effective population size of 0.5*Ne*, green cells a maximum effective population size of 1*Ne* and orange cells a maximum effective population size of 2*Ne*. These colours correspond to SDMs predictions of high, intermediate and low potential presence, respectively. Layers are dated in forward generation time (one generation per year in Figure 2).

Figure 3. Graphical representation of some of the various historical scenarios evaluated with the ABC approach for *G. intermedia*. Each scenario includes two splitting events among three main regions, the Alps (Alps), the Carpathians (Carp), and Scandinavia (North) represented by Tdiv East-West and Tdiv North-South; the former indicates the divergence time between the eastern and the western regions, i.e. the ancestral lineage of current populations in the Alps and the Carpathians, and the latter indicates the divergence between northern and southern Europe regions, i.e. the ancestral lineages of current populations in the Alps and Scandinavia. Depending on the scenarios, migration among populations was implemented (CCROC I and II) or not (CCROC III and IV). Graphical representations of the other tested scenarios are given in the electronic supplementary material, figure S5.

**Discussion**

For cold-hardy species with current widespread geographical ranges including Fennoscandia and other cold European regions, two main scenarios are likely to explain the existence of contemporary Nordic populations: *in situ* survival in northern Europe or recent colonization of northern regions from southern, western and/or eastern refugia (constantly inhabitable areas; e.g. [40,41]; see [42]). The potential for *in situ* survival in northern Europe during the LGM remains controversial for many species, even cold-adapted ones but especially for plants, is receiving growing support (e.g. [11,43–46]). Our study evaluated this hypothesis by investigating the evolutionary history of a boreo-montane herbivorous insect, *G. intermedia*, also considering its specialized trophic interaction with boreal and temperate tree species. Our results shed light on the range evolution of the insect that must reflect its host plant, since it is required for the survival of the insect. Their survival and northern regions after their separation, and both divergence times largely predating the LGM (HD95 for the most recent divergence, between the Carpathian and northern regions: 83 030–194 590 years ago). This scenario has the highest degree of complexity compared to all other tested models and was only slightly favoured (Bayes factor of 1.273) over the best simpler scenario of complete isolation, ISOL II (electronic supplementary material, figure S5; mean posterior probability of 2.03 × 10^{-4} along with an observed p-value of 0.103, revealing a relatively good model fit to the observed data; electronic supplementary material, table S4). Compared to CCROC I, this scenario involves no gene flow among regions after their separation, and no bottleneck event (although population sizes of the Carpathian and northern regions are smaller than that of the Alps), but also includes old divergence times among regions notably between southern and northern Europe (more than 160 generations/years ago). Therefore, ABC analyses also favoured a long-term existence (i.e. older than the LGM) of *G. intermedia* in northern Europe.
together in northern Europe may result from a combination of 
*in situ* survival and more recent colonization. We therefore 
encourage further exploration of the *in situ* survival hypothesis 
for other organisms.

SDM is often used to estimate the palaeo-distribution of 
species in the absence of fossil data [25]. In this study, we 
built SDMs using climatic variables corresponding to current 
and past suitable regions in Europe for the leaf beetle *G. intermedia* 
and its two host trees, *P. padus* and *S. aucuparia*. Models 
portray a fragmented geographical range during the LIG, as 
previously suggested for several plant taxa [47], followed by 
a less fragmented range at the LGM in central Europe, but 
not obviously associated with a range expansion. *Gonioctena intermedia* diverged from its sister species *G. quinquepunctata* 
at least 240 000 yr BP [22]. This implies it survived several cli-
matic cycles, with a more continuous range during colder 
episodes and confinement to smaller isolated regions (general-
ized range fragmentation) during warmer episodes. According 
to our SDMs, *G. intermedia* appears currently more widespread 
than during LIG, although strong discontinuities in the range 
are still observed today between the north and the rest of 
Europe, and among the main inhabited mountain ranges of 
central and southern Europe (figure 1). Notably, LGM 
models suggest the existence of a habitable region located in 
the most western coastal region of Norway in northern 
Europe. Western coastal Norway is an area the continental 
ice sheet may not have reached, contrary to what is inferred 
by some models ([48]; but see [49]), and in which the discovery 
of plant macrofossils also suggest the glacial survival of certain 

Several limitations of SDM are recognized (e.g. [50–52]). One 
limitation is that probabilities of occurrence are inferred 
from a subset of environmental parameters. In addition, the 
absence of a species depends on other important par-
eters that are rarely taken into account in SDM, e.g. the 
species capacity to disperse, or its interactions with other 
species [19] such as trophically linked taxa (e.g. the host of 
a specialized insect; [15]). Therefore, SDMs provide an 
estimate of the potential presence of a species in a given 
area, rather than actual presence [53]. For example, one 
factor that could explain the current distribution of *G. inter-
media*, which we know is narrower than its SDM estimate 
suggests, is the apparent exclusion by its sister species *G. quinquepunctata*, that feeds on the same host plants, but 
never occurs in the same area. The sister species is widely 
distributed in western Europe, including areas identified 
by SDM estimates as suitable for *G. intermedia*, but from 
which *G. intermedia* is absent. On the other hand, the 
absence of *G. intermedia* in the western portion of its poten-
tial distribution (as estimated by SDM) could simply be 
owing to low dispersal capacity.

To improve our initial SDM estimates of the leaf beetle 
ranges, we identified portions of the potential ranges that 
did not overlap (i.e. where co-occurrence is rejected) with 
the potential presence of at least one of its host plants. Climate 
change can indeed generate a mismatch among the geogra-
phical distributions of interacting species [54], thereby 
interrupting trophic networks. The corrected estimated range 
remained compatible with a Scandinavian refugium for 
*G. intermedia* (in association with *S. aucuparia*), and continued 
to support the occurrence of multiple transitions between a 
continuous and a fragmented leaf beetle distribution, following 
shifts between glacial and interglacial episodes (electronic 
supplementary material, figure S3). However, given the 
noted limitations associated with SDM, and the variation 
among distribution estimates derived from different climatic 
models and modelling parameters, independent data should 
be sought to test these historical hypotheses further. In 
this context, genetic data were collected to challenge the historical 
hypotheses of *G. intermedia* suggested by SDM. Our simul-
lations of sequence data in coalescence models clearly 
favoured the presence of a northern refuge separate from the 
Alps, Carpathians, or Ardennes regions, during the last glacial 
period (figure 2).

The results from ABC analyses confirmed some inferences 
from the spatially explicit analyses. Notably, it confirmed 
the presence of a northern population of *G. intermedia* during 
the LGM. Indeed, it favoured models featuring an older split 
between northern and central Europe, 80 000–200 000 yr ago over models featuring such split at, or after, the LGM. 
These models suggest that the current distribution of genetic 
diversity of *G. intermedia* was shaped by a combination of 
*in situ* survival in northern Europe with post-glacial coloniza-
tion of the remaining current range from central Europe 
refuges (Alps and possibly Carpathians) after the retreat of 
the continental ice sheet. Our ABC analyses suggest the follow-
ing times of major events: a first separation between the Alps 
(west) and the Carpathians (east) most likely preceding the 
LIG (approx. −145 000 yr BP), followed by a north/south 
split of the eastern portion of the range that occurred after 
the LIG (approx. −92 000 yr BP) (electronic supplementary 
material, table S4).

SDMs suggested a more continuous range during the 
cold periods and more fragmentation during warm episodes 
of the Late Pleistocene. However, contrary to what has been 
suggested for other cold-adapted species (e.g. [55]), the range 
of *G. intermedia* was probably not larger during glacial epi-
isodes. In fact, SDM analyses suggested an overall reduced 
range for this species at the LGM, with northern Europe and 
the Carpathians populations experiencing contraction. 
Furthermore, ABC analyses suggest changes in population 
size for the Carpathian and northern regions: size reduction 
of the Carpathian population (+30 000 yr BP) followed by 
size expansion of northern and Carpathian populations (ABC 
results, electronic supplementary material, table S4; HD95 
between −15 100 and 19 700 yr BP), which probably corre-
spends to the end of the coldest phase of the last glaciation 
episode (approx. −19 000 yr BP). These demographic 
events could reflect, at least partially, the impact of the climatic 
oscillations at the end of the Pleistocene and associated dynamics 
of the ice sheet. In addition, the environment in Europe seems 
to have experienced significant changes during the sub-glacial/
sub-interglacial episodes that lasted 1000–1500 years or even 
4000–5000 years for the most important stadials and intersta-
dials (e.g. [48]) at the Holocene, which may explain patterns 
of recent gene flow occurring among currently isolated regions 
of the distribution.

Our coalescence simulations clearly rejected the hypothesis 
of a recent colonization of Fennoscandia from central Europe 
at the end of the last glaciation (late-glacial/early Holocene; 
e.g. [56]). The persistence of a northern population isolated 
from central Europe at the LGM could be owing to the presence of 
a refugium situated west of the Scandinavian continental ice 
sheet, but perhaps elsewhere, e.g. in the Russian plains and/or 
in the Ural mountains (e.g. [5,44,57]), where patches of veg-
etation, even forests, persisted through the last glacial
episode (e.g. [41,58]), although SDM analyses showed no evidence of suitable habitats in northeast Europe at the LGM.

DNA sequence variation strongly suggests the existence of a northern refuge for *G. intermedia*, isolated from the Alpine and Carpathian regions for a long period of time (more than 100,000 years), although it might have been briefly in contact at the end of the Pleistocene. Combining genetic data with SDM results suggests that this northern refuge might have been located in an extreme western coastal region of Norway, although we cannot exclude other locations in northern Europe. Future sampling should target the presumed northern refugium to test this hypothesis further. The plausibility of a Scandinavian refuge is further supported by the inferred potential range estimates for *S. aucuparia*, one of the beetle’s host trees. *Sorbus aucuparia* is a cold-hardy tree with a current geographical distribution in Europe from Iceland and northern Finland to mountain ranges of central Spain and southern Italy [59]. Thus *S. aucuparia* populations could have survived locally in Scandinavia at the LGM, offering suitable habitats for its insect in that region. A recent debate has emerged in the literature, from available evidences for the presence of other boreal plant species in western Norway during the last glacial (e.g. [60–62]). Evidence for the presence of *S. aucuparia* in northern Europe is available at least for the late glacial period (e.g. in Denmark) and early Holocene [11]. Although the controversy mainly focuses on plant taxa, the literature also discusses fossils that reveal larger Scandinavian ranges than expected from climatic and ice sheet models for several animal species (e.g. [63]; but see [4]).

5. Conclusion

The study sheds light on the history of a trophic interaction between a specialized insect and its host through major Pleistocene climatic changes. Results suggest that the leaf beetle *G. intermedia* survived the harsh environmental conditions of northern Europe at the LGM, although the exact location of survival remains open. This, in turn, implies the glacial survival of at least one of its host trees, most likely *S. aucuparia*, providing further evidence for the survival of cold-adapted trees in northern Europe at the LGM. This study should stimulate search for other sources of evidence in favour or against glacial survival of boreal species in Fennoscandia. In addition, the study illustrates how we can improve estimates of past and present species ranges from species distribution modelling by combining the estimates from interacting species, and by analysing DNA variation data using spatially explicit models of coalescence and ABC.
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